

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,973	02/27/2004	Paul Chyc	PUS1626 (1578.701)	6821
44208 7590 06/14/2007 DOCKET CLERK PO BOX 12608 DALLAS, TX 75225		EXAM	EXAMINER	
			FATAHI YAR, MAHMOUD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/14/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/788,973	CHYC ET AL		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mike Fatahiyar	2629		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAIS nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 May 2005.				
•	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)∐	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims	•			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine. The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	epted or b) objected to by the for a beginning of the formula of t	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	at(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 5/23/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte		

Application/Control Number: 10/788,973

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Claims 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 14, line 6, there is no clear antecedent basis for "the user". Correction and/or clarification is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-3 and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kodim(6,094,190).

Kodim discloses an input device for controlling a position of a cursor on a display device comprising a core(7), a flexible track(8), a first input detection means(11) which is a turns encoder, a depressible component(13), a second tactile input detection(14) which all function as claimed.

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 4-7 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodim in view of Will(5,825,353).

Kodim is discussed above. Will is cited to show that the concept of utilizing a rotatable input device disposed on a peripheral edge of a portable hand held electronic device having a processor(10) for navigating and controlling a selection function on a display(13) is old. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Kodim with the noted teachings of Will such that to position the rotatable input arrangement of Kodim on a peripheral edge of a hand held portable electronic device having a display device for navigational and selection functions because both references are related to a rotational input device for a computer for controlling an image on a display screen.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dorst, Culver, Shaw et al, Culver('938B1), Mackay, Clark and Shiff are made of record to show various types of rotatable input devices for controlling a displayed image on a display device.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mike Fatahiyar whose telephone number is (571)272-7688. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,973

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNULUGY CENTER 2600

Page 4

M. Fatahiyar June 10, 2007